

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,463		01/31/2001	Susan M. Janz	10003900-1	7071
22879	7590	01/12/2005	,	EXAM	INER
HEWLET	T PACK	ARD COMPAN	CASIANO, ANGEL L		
		404 E. HARMON ROPERTY ADM	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COI	ORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			2182	
				DATE MAIL ED: 01/12/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/773,463	JANZ ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Angel L Casiano	2182
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•	
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	
(a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):	•
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Č
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:	JEFFREY	GAFFIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/773,463

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1 now specifies, "the page processing usage data indicative of the number of pages processed by the device". In addition, instead of "usage data", claims 1, 3, 8, 9, 11, 16, and 17 now read "page processing usage data". These new limitations raise new issues which would required further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: These new limitations raise new issues which would required further consideration and search.